

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/671,737	09/29/2003	Hyo Azuma	0020-5181P	6749	
2292	7590 05/16/2006		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			MAPLES, JOHN S		
PO BOX 74' FALLS CHU	/ JRCH, VA 22040-074	7	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1745	1745	
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2000	DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(	_			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
	10/671,737	AZUMA, HYO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John S. Maples	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe	ebruary 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-10 is/are pending in the app	olication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9</u> is/ <del>are</del> withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-8, 10</u> ie are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∌d.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal P  6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/671,737 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

1. Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on February 27, 2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

- Claim 9 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
   1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kameda et al.-US 6,632,569 (Kameda) in view of both JP-2002-025612 ('612) and Kasamatsu et al.-US 6,420,070. (Kasamatsu) (New Rejection)

Kameda sets forth in the Abstract and in column 4, lines 2-51; column 6, lines 33-52; column 12, lines 41-59; column 14, lines 48-60; column 15, lines 6-22 and Table I a lithium ion secondary battery having all of the claimed features of the negative electrode including a carbonaceous material having a spacing d0002 less than 0.337 thus meeting the claimed subject matter. Kameda teaches all of the presently claimed subject matter except for the specific amount of the vinylene carbonate and for the cellulose ether compound in the binder. It is noted that Kameda sets forth both cellulose and the like along with a butadiene copolymer rubber as examples of binders

Art Unit: 1745

for the electrode in column 12, lines 41-59. Column 15 of Kameda also sets forth a spiral wound battery. The '612 patent discloses a non-aqueous graphite anode battery having the claimed amount of vinylene carbonate in the electrolyte. To have included in the electrolyte of Kameda the amount of vinylene carbonate as taught in '612 would have been obvious for the known outstanding properties of the said battery with such an amount of electrolyte.

Kasamatsu sets forth in column 8, lines 47-59, an anode for a lithium ion battery including graphite, styrene/butadiene rubber and carboxymethyl cellulose. Even though the specific amount of the cellulose is not stated it would have been obvious to have used it in a 1:1 ratio with the rubber because the same was merely used to suspend the rubber and graphite to form a paste in a aqueous solution and thus would fall within this range. The total amount of the said binder would then equal 5% or less in the negative electrode. In any event, applicant has provided no unexpected results supporting the criticality of the amount of binder. To have included in the battery of Kameda, the binder of Kasamatsu would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art because Kameda provides for such compounds and the use of both would provide a very strongly bound electrode.

Applicant's arguments have all been considered but are deemed moot in view of the above new grounds of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection
 presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See

Art Unit: 1745

MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Maples whose telephone number is 571-272-1287. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:15-3:45, and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,737 Page 5

**Art Unit: 1745** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JSM/5-13-2006